IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

TUESDAY, THE 3RD APRIL 2007 / 13TH CHAITHRA 1930

WP(C).No. 28435 of 2006(I)

PETITIONER:

NOORJAHAN C.H. DATA ENTRY ASSISTANT,
KANNUR UNIVERSITY, RESIDING AT NADIRA,
TEMPLE GATE, KANNUR.

BY ADV. SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE KANNUR UNIVERSITY, REPRESENTED BY
ITS REGISTRAR, MANGATTUPARAMBA, KANNUR.

2. THE PRO.VICE CHANCELLOR,
KANNUR UNIVERSITY, MANGATTUPPARAMBA, KANNUR.

BY ADV. SRI.M.SASEENDRAN,SC,KANNUR UNIVERSITY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 03/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

EXT.P1	**TRUE COPY OF THE DEGREE CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE CALICUT UNIVERSITY DTD. 28-9-05**
EXT.P2	**TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE IN POST GRADUATE DIPLOMA IN COMPUTER APPLICATION ISSUED BY THE RAJEEV GANDHI EDUCATION FOUNDATION DTD. 25-11-98**
EXT.P3	**TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF TYPEWRITING ENGLIGH HIGHER ISSUED BY THE BOARD OF TECHNICAL EXAMINATION KERALA STATE DTD. 11-04-91**
EXT.P4	**TRUE C OPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF TYPEWRITING MALAYALAM HIGHER ISSUED BY THE BOARD OF TECHNICAL EXAMINATION KERALA STATE DTD. 17-04-93**
EXT.P5	**TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE UNIVERSITY DTD. 23-8-01**
EXT.P6	**TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN O.P.NO.27806/01 DTD. 19-09-01**
EXT.P7	**TRUE COPY OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE SYNDICATE OF THE CALICUT UNIVERSITY DTD. 23-12-02**
EXT.P8	**TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN W.P.C.NO.31195 OF 2002**
EXT.P9	**TRUE COPY OF THE NOTE SUBMITTED TO THE MEMBERS OF THE SYNDICATE PREPARED BY THE REGISTRAR IN RELATION TO THE AGENDA OF REGULARISATION OF PETITIONER'S SERVICE.**

/True Copy/

PA to Judge.

K.M.JOSEPH, J.

W.P.(C).No.28435 OF 2006

Dated this the 3rd day of April, 2007

JUDGMENT

Case of the petitioner is as follows:

Petitioner was appointed as Data Entry Operator in the services of the University. Complaint of the petitioner in this writ petition is the non-consideration of her case for regularisation inspite of the earlier decision of the syndicate and and the direction of this court. University is taking steps to select candidates from the open market, it is stated. The reason for not taking a final decision was the pendency of the writ petition filed by a stranger. That writ petition was dismissed with a direction to consider the claim of the petitioner. Despite that, steps was not taken. Petitioner has approached this court with this writ petition on the basis of the apprehension that University is proceeding further with the selection process for selecting candidates from the open market.

Petitioner has filed another writ petition as W.P.(C)No.9282/06. I find in judgment dated 09/10/2006 in W.P.(C)No.9282/06, this court had directed the respondents to consider and pass orders on Ext.P5 in tune with the decision of the syndicate dated 31/10/2001 to regularise the service of the petitioner. The court directed that an appropriate decision shall be taken as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within one month from the date of receipt of a copy the judgment.

Learned standing counsel for the University submits that a copy of the said judgment was received by the University on 26/03/2007. He submits that a decision will be taken as directed in the said judgment within the period mentioned in the said judgment. He also submits that any further steps taken for selection pursuant to the selection process undertaken by the University will be subject to the decision to be taken in the case of the petitioner. This is recorded.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

K.M.JOSEPH
JUDGE

sv.